

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/522,020	03/08/2000	Waichi Yarnamura	257743	2745
7:	590 12/20/2001			
PILLSBURY WINTHROP LLP			EXAMINER	
Intellectual Pro 1600 Tysons Be			DERRINGTON, JAMES H	
McLean, VA 22102		ART UNIT	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	G
			DATE MAILED: 12/20/2001	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/522,020

Applicant(s)

YAMAMURA

Examiner

Derrington

Art Unit 1731



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		·			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This action	tion is non-final.				
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1-13	is/are	pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s) 11-13	is/are	e withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-10		is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restric	tion and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers						
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are					
	The proposed drawing correction filed on		b) \square disapproved.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
Attachme	_		•			
15) 💢 No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper I	Yo(s)			
-	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
17) 💢 Inf	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)5	20) Other:	İ			

Art Unit: 1731

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness

rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Patent

Abstract of Japan, JP-10-114536.

This reference discloses the process of forming a glass rod by heating and elongating a

base material. The process also includes vertical adjustment or inclination of the material by

movement of the hanging mechanism 1 and/or the elongating mechanism 43. Because of the

current claim language, the instant claims do not patentably distinguish over the disclosure of this

reference. This position is taken because the term "standard rod" is not defined and in fact is

readable on the glass rod of the reference. The invention as understood from the specification (pp

14 & Fig. 7) includes the process of providing the instant device with a standard rod of ceramic or

metal that is straight, adjusting the hanging and elongation mechanism, removing the standard rod

and then performing heating and elongation of a base glass material. The claims should be

amended accordingly. The cited prior art does not show or reasonably suggest this process.

3. Claims 11-13 stand withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37

CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention, the requirement having been traversed

in Paper No. 8.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to James Derrington whose telephone number is (703) 308-3832,

id

December 15, 2001

JAMES DERRINGTON PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 137 / Z